



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/807,796

03/23/2004

Martin Langhammer

15114H-073600US

4384

26059

7590

04/20/2009

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP/ 015114

TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER

8TH FLOOR

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

EXAMINER

YAARY, MICHAEL D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2193

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/20/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/807,796	Applicant(s) LANGHAMMER, MARTIN	
	Examiner MICHAEL YAARY	Art Unit 2193	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MICHAEL YAARY. (3) ____.

(2) David B. Raczkowski. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 15 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Moyse, Callhoun, Yu, and Langhammer.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed and clarified the teachings of Moyse and Callhoun and the differences with respect to claim 1. Discussed the use of sign extension in Yu. Examiner agreed that Langhammer does not explicitly teach two distinct 2N bit accumulation units. Examiner is awaiting applicant's response for further consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/Lewis A. Bullock, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2193
--	---